1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	MICHAEL BERNARD RAYBON,	Case No. 2:13-cv-01115-APG-NJK
9	Plaintiff,	Cube 170. 2.15 CV OTTIS THE TRUIC
10	vs.	ORDER
11		
12	LANDON REYES,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	Plaintiff has not filed a response to the Court's order (Dkt. #6) directing that he show cause why	
16	the action should not be dismissed as not cognizable under <i>Heck v. Humphrey</i> , 512 U.S. 477 (1994).	
17	The order informed plaintiff that a failure to respond would result in dismissal of the action without	
18 19	further advance notice. As also noted in the prior order, plaintiff no longer is in custody, and the prison	
20	mailbox rule therefore does not apply to determine the timeliness of his filings. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice.	
21	The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment accordingly, dismissing this action without	
22	prejudice.	
23	Dated: 447 30, 2414.	
24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
25		
26	C/C	
27	ANDREW P. GORDON United States District Judge	
28		
- 1	1	